#### ROBERT B. ADERHOLT

4TH DISTRICT, ALABAMA

DISTRICT OFFICES

1710 ALABAMA AVENUE 247 CARL ELLIOTT BUILDING JASPER, AL 35501 TELEPHONE (205) 221-2310

205 FOURTH AVENUE NE SUITE 104 CULLMAN, AL 35055 TELEPHONE (256) 734-6043

107 FEDERAL BUILDING GADSDEN, AL 35901 TELEPHONE (256) 546-0201



# Congress of the United States House of Representatives

September 17, 2003

### **COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS**

#### SUBCOMMITTEES

VICE CHAIRMAN, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
TRANSPORTATION, TREASURY AND
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES
VA. HUD AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE

1433 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
TELEPHONE (202) 225-4876

Mr. Bryant L. VanBrakle Secretary Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capitol Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20573-0001

## **Dear** Commissioners:

It is my understanding that United Parcel Service (UPS) has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I am writing in support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the Ocean Shipping Reform Act (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates one of the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its" asset-based transportation infrastructure These facts alone would appear to set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of Nvoccs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is consistent with the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes If this this petition were granted, the FMC would be acknowledging these changes, arguably leveling the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefiting ocean shipping consumers around the world

I request that you give the UPS petition every consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Aderholt Member of Congress

RA:mb